UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,219	06/26/2003	Shigekazu Morikawa	030770	3714	
	7590 12/10/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL		P EXAMINER		
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, NV				
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/606,219	MORIKAWA, SHIGEKAZU			
merview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HEATHER R. JONES	2621			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>HEATHER R. JONES</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Andrew Melick (Reg. No. 56,868)</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	)∏ applicant's representative	.]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>10-13</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Walsh et al. (U.S. Patent 5,952,943).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)□ N	//A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The rejection of claims 10 arguments discussed on the phone so that the arguments a withdrawn and the differences between the Walsh et al. refees plained.</u>	0-13 will be withdrawn upon th are clear in the case as to why	e Applicant form the rejection ha	nally filing the es been		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, '	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		
	/The: Trans/				
	/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2621			